Controlled Unclassified Information						
DRAFT - Agency A	DRAFT - Agency Authorization Review Report - DRAFT					
FedRAMP Review for:	CSP Name					
Status:	(select action) Date		MM/DD/YYYY			
Document Versions Reviewed: SSP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAR (vx.x MM/DD/YY) and POA&M (vx.x MM/DD/YY)						
Assessor (3PAO or Agency Selected):	(enter assessor info) Deployment Model:		(select)			
Service Model:	(select)	System Categorization:	Low			

Section A: Executive Summary	

Key:	Key: Concern = Action may be required. OK = No action required. N/A = Not applicable for this package.					
Section	Section B: Documents Provided Check					
#	Description	OK/Concern		#	Description	OK/Concern
1.0	Initial Authorization Package Checklist			4.0	Security Assessment Plan (SAP)	
2.0	ATO Provided			4.1	App. A - Security Test Case Procedures	

3.0	System Security Plan (SSP)	 4.2	App. B - Penetration Testing Plan and Methodology	
3.1	Att. 1: Information Security Policies and Procedures	 4.3	App. C - 3PAO Supplied Deliverables (e.g., Penetration Test	
			Rules of Engagement and Sampling Methodology)	
3.2	Att. 2: User Guide	 5.0	Security Assessment Report (SAR)	
3.3	Att. 3: Digital Identity Worksheet	 5.1	App. A - Risk Exposure Table	
3.4	Att. 4: Privacy Threshold Analysis (PTA) and Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)	 5.2	App. B - Security Test Case Procedures	
3.5	Att. 5: Rules of Behavior (ROB)	 5.3	App. C - Infrastructure Scan Results	
3.6	Att. 6: Information System Contingency Plan (ISCP)	 5.4	App. D - Database Scan Results	
3.7	Att. 7: Configuration Management Plan (CMP)	 5.5	App. E - Web Application Scan Results	
3.8	Att. 8: Incident Response Plan (IRP)	 5.6	App. F - Assessment Results	
3.9	Att. 9: Control Implementation Summary (CIS) Workbook	 5.7	App. G - Manual Test Results	
3.10	Att. 10: Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 Categorization	 5.8	App. H - Documentation Review Findings	
3.11	Att. 11: Separation of Duties Matrix	 5.9	App. I - Auxiliary Documents	
3.12	Att. 12: FedRAMP Laws and Regulations	 5.10	App. J - Penetration Test Report	
3.13	Att. 13: FedRAMP Integrated Inventory Workbook	 6.0	Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)	
		7.0	Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)	
Other Com	ments:			

Section	C: Overall SSP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	Is the correct SSP template used?		
1b	Is the correct deployment model chosen for the system?		
2	Do all controls have at least one implementation status checkbox selected?		
3	Are all critical controls implemented?		

4 a	Are the customer responsibilities clearly identified in the CIS/CRM Worksheet tabs, as well as the SSP controls (by checkbox selected and in the implementation description)? Are the CIS/CRM and SSP controls consistent for customer responsibilities?		
	A sampling of seven controls involving customer roles is reviewed.		
4b	Does the initial authorizing agency concur with the CRM (adequacy and clarity of customer responsibilities)?	TBD	Agency to advise during review meeting
5	Does the Roles Table (User Roles and Privileges) sufficiently describe the range of user roles, responsibilities, and access privileges?		
6	In the control summary tables, does the information in the Responsible Role row correctly describe the required entities responsible for fulfilling the control?		
7	Is the appropriate Digital Identity Level selected?		
8a	Is the authorization boundary explicitly identified in the network diagram?		An acceptable boundary diagram is a clearly marked boundary (e.g., a labeled box or line around the components included). The diagram must be legible and readable. The diagram should: Include a clearly defined authorization boundary, Include system interconnections used to operate and support the intended mission/business functions. Depict every tool, service, or component that is mentioned in the SSP narrative, and controls, Identify those depicted tools, services, or components as either external or internal to the boundary, Identify all interconnected systems, and whether they are FedRAMP Authorized (or not), Depict how CSP and customer/agency access the service (e.g., authentication used to access service), Depict all major software/virtual components (or groups of) within the boundary, Be validated against the inventory, Show alternate processing site, and Show pulling of updates from external services, such as OS, and antivirus updates.
			Supporting text should describe all internal components.
8b	Does the CSO provide components to run on the client side?		

9	Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and that includes all traffic flows for both internal and external users?	 Data flow diagrams should be consistent with authorization boundary and: • Clearly identify anywhere federal data is to be processed, stored, or transmitted, • Clearly delineate how data comes into and out of the system boundary, • Clearly identify data flows for privileged, non-privileged and customers' access with MFA details, and • Depict how all ports, protocols, and services of all inbound and outbound traffic are represented and managed. • For each data flow, clearly depict protective mechanisms (encryption type and FIPS 140-2 validation or use of other alternative implementations such as physical protection via protective distribution systems [PDS]), where applicable.
		Note: If any data flows are not protected by encryption, the CSP should be prepared to provide the FedRAMP PMO clarifying details so that a risk decision can be made related to FedRAMP authorization: • Identify the data flow(s) and stores that is/are not encrypted, • Describe the impacted data (government data, government metadata, CSP data, etc.), • Sensitivity of the impacted data (Low vs Moderate), • Mitigations in place, • Remediation plan, where appropriate, • Projected date of remediation, where appropriate.
10	Does the CSP use any third-party or external cloud services that lack FedRAMP authorization? If so, please list them.	 Check throughout the SSP for services specified and if they are in the boundary diagram. ID any services not called out as part of the boundary discussion/diagram.
11a	If this is a SaaS or a PaaS, is it "leveraging" another laaS with a FedRAMP authorization?	
11b	If 11a is Yes, are the "inherited" controls clearly identified in the control descriptions?	
12	Are all interconnections correctly identified and documented in the SSP?	 Consistently address the external services referenced in C.10 and C.11a as follows: 1) Table 8-3. Leveraged Authorizations - should contain all FedRAMP Authorized CSOs 2) Tables 11-1. System Interconnections and 13-3. CA-3 Authorized Connections, and SAR Section 6. Risks Known For Interconnected Systems - should catalog all external services 3) SA-9 External Information System Services - should address all external services where federal information is processed or stored 4) Supporting narrative a) Provide a brief description of how each is used in support of the CSO b) Describe the data shared with each external service - particularly those lacking FedRAMP authorization 5) ABD a) Show how each external service interacts with users and the CSO boundary b) Depict the FedRAMP authorization status for each external service 6) DFD - show encryption status, and authentication mechanism for all connections from ABD 7) All of the above should address external services at the CSP/CSO level 8) When the external service is authorized, used the name from the FedRAMP Marketplace 9) When leveraging CSOs where FedRAMP authorization is conducted at the internal service level: a) This is typical for FedRAMP Authorized laas/Paas CSOs b) Depict on the ABD all the internal leveraged services in use c) Indicate the FedRAMP authorization status for each

14	Is the inventory provided in the FedRAMP Integrated Inventory Workbook?	
15	Is the CSO compliant with DNSSEC? (Controls SC-20 and SC-21 apply)	 For SC-20, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from outside the boundary to the CSP's authoritative servers receive DNSSEC compliant responses. For SC-21, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from inside the boundary for destinations
		outside the boundary receive DNSSEC compliant responses.
16	Does the CSO adequately employ Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance (DMARC) requirements according to DHS BOD 18-01?	 If email is sent, on behalf of the government as part of the CSO, then DMARC must be implemented on the sending domain.
Other Con	nments:	

Control	Control	OK/Concern	Comments
AC-2	Account Management		
AC-17	Remote Access		
CA-1	Certification, Authorization, Security Assessment Policy and Procedures		
CM-6	Configuration Settings		
CP-9	Information System Backup		
IA-2(1)	User Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - Network Access to Privileged Accounts		
IA-8(1)	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)		
IR-8	Incident Response Plan		
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning		
SC-7	Boundary Protection		
SC-13	Use of Cryptography - FIPS-validated or NSA-approved		

Section	E: SAP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAP template used, including all sections?		
2	Security Test Case Procedures (Test Case Workbook) present?		

2 -	D. L (F	
3а	Rules of Engagement present?	
3b	Penetration Test Plan present (may be combined with Rules of	
	Engagement)?	
4	Is there an inventory of items to be tested?	
5	If a sampling methodology was used for technical testing, was the sampling methodology/plan described?	
Other Cor	mments:	

#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAR template used, including all sections?		
2	Are risks documented?		
2a	Have all external services been appropriately documented in the SAR?		It is expected that the 3PAO accurately reflect the risks due to external service interconnections to the system. At minimum, there should be a correlation to the SSP.
3	Was evidence provided, or was there a statement that evidence can be provided upon request?		
4a	Completed Security Test Case Procedures (Test Case Workbook) present and in accordance with the FedRAMP template?		
4b	If SSP controls reflect any alternative implementations, do the Test Cases reflect specific test procedures to address each particular alternative implementation?		
5	Security scan results present?		
6	Penetration Test Report present and consistent with the FedRAMP Penetration Test Guidance?		
7	Are deviations from the SAP documented?		
8	Does the 3PAO provide an attestation statement or recommendation for authorization?		
9	Are there zero High findings identified in the SAR? If there are any high findings, provide the number and comments.		
10	Are the numbers of risks/findings consistently stated within the SAR, where appropriate?		
11	Are the inventory tables in the SAR consistent with the SSP inventory?		
12	Are SAR test results consistent with FedRAMP Timeliness and Accuracy of Testing Requirements?		Pen Test: ~ Vuln Scanning: ~ Controls Testing: ~

Findings:
High:
Mod:
Low:
of risks downgraded (by level) due to mitigating factors
of ORs

Table? 3 Are the POA&M line items consistent with the FedRAMP POA&M Template Completion Guide? 4a Have any Operationally Required items (ORs) in the POA&M been validated by the 3PAO? (Included in the SAR) 4b Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency? TBD Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting] 5 Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?	#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
Table? 3 Are the POA&M line items consistent with the FedRAMP POA&M Template Completion Guide? 4a Have any Operationally Required items (ORs) in the POA&M been validated by the 3PAO? (Included in the SAR) 4b Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency? 5 Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)? TBD Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorize agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting] TBD Have all POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?	1	Is the POA&M in the FedRAMP POA&M template?		
POA&M Template Completion Guide? 4a Have any Operationally Required items (ORs) in the POA&M been validated by the 3PAO? (Included in the SAR) 4b Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency? TBD Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting] 5 Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for	2	·		
been validated by the 3PAO? (Included in the SAR) 4b Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency? TBD Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting] Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?	3			
agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting] 5 Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?	4a			
requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?	4b	Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency?	TBD	Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting]
er Comments:	5	requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for		
a confinence.	er Con	nments:		

v4.

Controlled Unclassified Information						
DRAFT - Agency A	uthorization Review Repo	rt - DRAFT	FR Package ID#			
FedRAMP Review for:						
Status:	(select action)	Date:	MM/DD/YYYY			
Service Model:	(select)	Deployment Model:	(select)			
Document Versions Reviewed:	SSP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAR (vx.x MM/DD/YY) and POA&M (vx.x MM/DD/		Y)			
Assessor (3PAO or Agency Selected):	(enter assessor info)	System Categorization:	Moderate			

Section A: Executive Summary	<u>/</u>		

Key:	Concern = Action may be required. OK = No action required. N/A = Not applicable for this package.					
Section	Section B: Documents Provided Check					
#	Description	OK/Concern		#	Description	OK/Concern
1.0	Initial Authorization Package Checklist			4.0	Security Assessment Plan (SAP)	
2.0	ATO Provided			4.1	App. A - Security Test Case Procedures	
3.0	System Security Plan (SSP)			4.2	App. B - Penetration Testing Plan and Methodology	
3.1	Att. 1: Information Security Policies and Procedures			4.3	App. C - 3PAO Supplied Deliverables (e.g., Penetration Test Rules of Engagement and Sampling Methodology)	
3.2	Att. 2: User Guide			5.0	Security Assessment Report (SAR)	

3.3	Att. 3: Digital Identity Worksheet	
3.4	Att. 4: Privacy Threshold Analysis (PTA) and Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)	
3.5	Att. 5: Rules of Behavior (ROB)	
3.6	Att. 6: Information System Contingency Plan (ISCP)	
3.7	Att. 7: Configuration Management Plan (CMP)	
3.8	Att. 8: Incident Response Plan (IRP)	
3.9	Att. 9: Control Implementation Summary (CIS) Workbook	
3.10	Att. 10: Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 Categorization	
3.11	Att. 11: Separation of Duties Matrix	
3.12	Att. 12: FedRAMP Laws and Regulations	
3.13	Att. 13: FedRAMP Integrated Inventory Workbook	

7.0	Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)	
6.0	Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)	
5.10	App. J - Penetration Test Report	
5.9	App. I - Auxiliary Documents	
5.8	App. H - Documentation Review Findings	
5.7	App. G - Manual Test Results	
5.6	App. F - Assessment Results	
5.5	App. E - Web Application Scan Results	
5.4	App. D - Database Scan Results	
5.3	App. C - Infrastructure Scan Results	
5.2	App. B - Security Test Case Procedures	
5.1	App. A - Risk Exposure Table	

Other Comments:

Section	C: Overall SSP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1a	Is the correct SSP Template used?		
1b	Is the correct deployment model chosen for the system?		
2	Do all controls have at least one implementation status checkbox selected?		
3	Are all critical controls implemented?		
4a	Are the customer responsibilities clearly identified in the CIS/CRM Worksheet tabs, as well as the SSP controls (by checkbox selected and in the implementation description)? Are the CIS/CRM and SSP controls consistent for customer responsibilities?		
	A sampling of seven controls involving customer roles is reviewed.		
4b	Does the initial authorizing agency concur with the CRM (adequacy and clarity of customer responsibilities)?	TBD	Agency to advise during review meeting
5	Does the Roles Table (User Roles and Privileges) sufficiently describe the range of user roles, responsibilities, and access privileges?		

6	In the control summary tables, does the information in the Responsible Role row correctly describe the required entities responsible for fulfilling the control?	
7	Is the appropriate Digital Identity Level selected?	
8a	Is the authorization boundary explicitly identified in the network diagram?	 An acceptable boundary diagram is a clearly marked boundary (e.g., a labeled box or line around the components included). The diagram must be legible and readable. The diagram should: Include a clearly defined authorization boundary, Include system interconnections used to operate and support the intended mission/business functions, Depict every tool, service, or component that is mentioned in the SSP narrative, and controls, Identify those depicted tools, services, or components as either external or internal to the boundary, Identify all interconnected systems, and whether they are FedRAMP Authorized (or not), Depict how CSP and customer/agency access the service (e.g., authentication used to access service), Depict all major software/virtual components (or groups of) within the boundary, Be validated against the inventory, Show alternate processing site, and Show pulling of updates from external services, such as OS, and antivirus updates. Supporting text should describe all internal components.
8b	Does the CSO provide components to run on the client side?	
9	Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and that includes all traffic flows for both internal and external users?	 Data flow diagrams should be consistent with authorization boundary and: • Clearly identify anywhere federal data is to be processed, stored, or transmitted, • Clearly delineate how data comes into and out of the system boundary, • Clearly identify data flows for privileged, non-privileged and customers' access with MFA details, and • Depict how all ports, protocols, and services of all inbound and outbound traffic are represented and managed. • For each data flow, clearly depict protective mechanisms (encryption type and FIPS 140-2 validation or use of other alternative implementations such as physical protection via protective distribution systems [PDS]), where applicable.
		Note: If any data flows are not protected by encryption, the CSP should be prepared to provide the FedRAMP PMO clarifying details so that a risk decision can be made related to FedRAMP authorization: • Identify the data flow(s) and stores that is/are not encrypted, • Describe the impacted data (government data, government metadata, CSP data, etc.), • Sensitivity of the impacted data (Low vs Moderate), • Mitigations in place, • Remediation plan, where appropriate, • Projected date of remediation, where appropriate.
10	Does the CSP use any third-party or external cloud services that lack FedRAMP authorization?	 Check throughout the SSP for services specified and if they are in the boundary diagram. ID any services not called out as part of the boundary discussion/diagram.
	If so, please list them.	
11a	If this is a SaaS or a PaaS, is it "leveraging" another laaS with a FedRAMP authorization?	
11b	If 11a is Yes, are the "inherited" controls clearly identified in the control descriptions?	

12	Are all interconnections correctly identified and documented in the SSP?	 Consistently address the external services referenced in C.10 and C.11a as follows: 1) Table 8-3. Leveraged Authorizations - should contain all FedRAMP Authorized CSOs 2) Tables 11-1. System Interconnections and 13-3. CA-3 Authorized Connections, and SAR Section 6. Risks Known For Interconnected Systems - should catalog all external services 3) SA-9 External Information System Services - should address all external services where federal information is processed or stored 4) Supporting narrative a) Provide a brief description of how each is used in support of the CSO b) Describe the data shared with each external service - particularly those lacking FedRAMP authorization 5) ABD a) Show how each external service interacts with users and the CSO boundary b) Depict the FedRAMP authorization status for each external service 6) DFD - show encryption status, and authentication mechanism for all connections from ABD 7) All of the above should address external services at the CSP/CSO level 8) When the external service is authorized, used the name from the FedRAMP Marketplace 9) When leveraging CSOs where FedRAMP authorization is conducted at the internal service level: a) This is typical for FedRAMP Authorized laaS/PaaS CSOs b) Depict on the ABD all the internal leveraged services in use c) Indicate the FedRAMP authorization status for each
13	Are all required controls present?	
14	Is the inventory provided in the FedRAMP Integrated Inventory Workbook?	
15	Is the CSO compliant with DNSSEC? (Controls SC-20 and SC-21 apply)	 For SC-20, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from outside the boundary to the CSP's authoritative servers receive DNSSEC compliant responses.
		For SC-21, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from inside the boundary for destinations outside the boundary receive DNSSEC compliant responses.
16	Does the CSO adequately employ Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance (DMARC) requirements according to DHS BOD 18-01?	 If email is sent on behalf of the government as part of the CSO, then DMARC must be implemented on the sending domain.
Other Con	nments:	

Section I	ection D: Moderate SSP Control Checks					
Control	Control	OK/Concern	Comments			
AC-2	Account Management					
AC-4	Information Flow Enforcement					
AC-17	Remote Access					
CA-1	Security Assessment and Authorization Policies and Procedures					
CM-6	Configuration Settings					
CP-7	Alternate Processing Site					

CP-9	Information System Backup	
IA-2(1)	User Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - Network Access to Privileged Accounts	
IA-2(2)	User Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - for Network Access to Non-privileged Accounts	
IA-2(3)	User Identification and Authentication - Local Access to Privileged Accounts	
IA-2(11)	User Identification and Authentication - Remote Access - Separate Device Authentication	
IA-8(1)	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)	
IR-8	Incident Response Plan	
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning	
RA-5(5)	Vulnerability Scanning - Privileged Access Authorization	
RA-5(8)	Vulnerability Scanning - Review Historic Audit Logs	
SA-11	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation	
SA-11(1)	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation - Static Code Analysis	
SC-4	Information in Shared Resources	
SC-7	Boundary Protection	
SC-8 and SC-8 (1)	Transmission Confidentiality and Integrity (internal and external)	
SC-13	Use of Cryptography - FIPS-validated or NSA-approved	
SC-28	Protection of Information at Rest	
Other Com	ments:	

Section	E: SAP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAP template used, including all sections?		
2	Security Test Case Procedures (Test Case Workbook) present?		
3а	Rules of Engagement present?		
3b	Penetration Test Plan present (may be combined with Rules of Engagement)?		
4	Is there an inventory of items to be tested?		
5	If a sampling methodology was used for technical testing, was the sampling methodology/plan described?		
Other Con	nments:		

of ORs

#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAR template used, including all sections?		
2	Are risks documented?		
2a	Have all external services been appropriately documented in the SAR?		It is expected that the 3PAO accurately reflect the risks due to external service interconnections to the system. At minimum, there should be a correlation to the SSP.
3	Was evidence provided, or was there a statement that evidence can be provided upon request?		
4a	Completed Security Test Case Procedures (Test Case Workbook) present and in accordance with the FedRAMP template?		
4b	If SSP controls reflect any alternative implementations, do the Test Cases reflect specific test procedures to address each particular alternative implementation?		
5	Security scan results present?		
6	Penetration Test Report present and consistent with the FedRAMP Penetration Test Guidance?		
7	Are deviations from the SAP documented?		
8	Does the 3PAO provide an attestation statement or recommendation for authorization?		
9	Are there zero High findings identified in the SAR? If there are any high findings, provide the number and comments.		
10	Are the numbers of risks/findings consistently stated within the SAR, where appropriate?		
11	Are the inventory tables in the SAR consistent with the SSP inventory?		
12	Are SAR test results consistent with FedRAMP Timeliness and Accuracy of Testing Requirements?		Pen Test: ~ Vuln Scanning: ~ Controls Testing: ~
her Con	nments:		
ndings: gh: od:			

Section C	: POA&M Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments

1	Is the POA&M in the FedRAMP POA&M template?		
2	Is the POA&M consistent with the SAR Risk Exposure Summary Table?		
3	Are the POA&M line items consistent with the FedRAMP POA&M Template Completion Guide?		
4a	Have any Operationally Required items (ORs) in the POA&M been validated by the 3PAO? (Included in the SAR)		
4b	Have all ORs risks been accepted by the authorizing agency?	TBD	# of ORs not in SAR: Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting]
5	Does the POA&M reflect adherence to FedRAMP time-frame requirements (completion dates by 30 days for High, 90 days for Moderate, and 180 days for Low vulnerabilities)?		
Other Com	ments:		
# of ORs # of VDs			

v4.8

	Controlled Unclassified Information	1	
DRAFT - Agency A	uthorization Review Repo	rt - DRAFT	FR Package ID#
FedRAMP Review for:	CSP Name		
Status:	(select)	Date:	MM/DD/YYYY
Document Versions Reviewed:	SSP (vx.x MM/DD/YY), SAP (vx.x MM	/DD/YY), SAR (vx.x MM/DD/YY) and POA&M (vx.x MM/DD/Y	Y)
Service Model:	(select)	Deployment Model:	(select)
Assessor (3PAO or Agency Selected):	(enter assessor info)	System Categorization:	High

Section A: Executive S	ummary			

Key:	Concern = Action may be required. OK = No action required.	N/A = Not applicable for thi	s package.		
Section	B: Documents Provided Check				
#	Description	OK/Concern	#	Description	OK/Concern
1.0	Initial Authorization Package Checklist		4.0	Security Assessment Plan (SAP)	
2.0	ATO Provided		4.1	App. A - Security Test Case Procedures	
3.0	System Security Plan (SSP)		4.2	App. B - Penetration Testing Plan and Methodology	
3.1	Att. 1: Information Security Policies and Procedures		4.3	App. C - 3PAO Supplied Deliverables (e.g., Penetration Test Rules of Engagement and Sampling Methodology)	
3.2	Att. 2: User Guide		5.0	Security Assessment Report (SAR)	
3.3	Att. 3: Digital Identity Level Selection		5.1	App. A - Risk Exposure Table	

3.4	Att. 4: Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)	
3.5	Att. 5: Rules of Behavior (ROB)	
3.6	Att. 6: Information System Contingency Plan (ISCP)	
3.7	Att. 7: Configuration Management Plan (CMP)	
3.8	Att. 8: Incident Response Plan (IRP)	
3.9	Att. 9: Control Implementation Summary (CIS) Workbook	
3.1	Att. 10: Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 199 Categorization	
3.11	Att. 11: Separation of Duties Matrix	
3.12	Att. 12: FedRAMP Laws and Regulations	
3.13	Att. 13: FedRAMP Inventory Workbook	

7.0	Continuous Monitoring Plan (ConMon Plan)	
6.0	Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)	
5.10	App. J - Penetration Test Report	
5.9	App. I - Auxiliary Documents	
5.8	App. H - Documentation Review Findings	
5.7	App. G - Manual Test Results	
5.6	App. F - Assessment Results	
5.5	App. E - Web Application Scan Results	
5.4	App. D - Database Scan Results	
5.3	App. C - Infrastructure Scan Results	
5.2	App. B - Security Test Case Procedures	

Other Comments:

Section	C: Overall SSP Checks		
#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1a	Is the correct SSP Template used?		
1b	Is the correct deployment model chosen for the system?		
2	Do all controls have at least one implementation status checkbox selected?		
3	Are all critical controls implemented?		
4a	Are the customer responsibilities clearly identified in the CIS/CRM Worksheet tabs, as well as the SSP controls (by checkbox selected and in the implementation description)? Are the CIS/CRM and SSP controls consistent for customer responsibilities?		
	A sampling of seven controls involving customer roles is reviewed.		
4b	Does the initial authorizing agency concur with the CRM (adequacy and clarity of customer responsibilities)?	TBD	Agency to advise during review meeting
5	Does the Roles Table (User Roles and Privileges) sufficiently describe the range of user roles, responsibilities, and access privileges?		

6	In the control summary tables, does the information in the Responsible Role row correctly describe the required entities responsible for fulfilling the control?	
7	Was Digital Identity Level 3 selected and implemented, as required?	 Spot Check implementation status, parameters, and description for following associated controls:IA-8(2, (3)/(4), IA-5, IA-5(3), SC-12(3). Also, reference related critical control concerns that reveal issues in (IA-2, (11) and IA-2(12)).
8a	Is the authorization boundary explicitly identified in the network diagram and are all associated services appropriately included within the boundary?	 An acceptable boundary diagram is a clearly marked boundary (e.g., a labeled box or line around the components included). The diagram must be legible and readable. The diagram should: Include a clearly defined authorization boundary, Include system interconnections used to operate and support the intended mission/business functions. Depict every tool, service, or component that is mentioned in the SSP narrative, and controls, Identify those depicted tools, services, or components as either external or internal to the boundary, Identify all interconnected systems, and whether they are FedRAMP Authorized (or not), Depict how CSP and customer/agency access the service (e.g., authentication used to access service), Depict all major software/virtual components (or groups of) within the boundary, Be validated against the inventory, Show alternate processing site, and Show pulling of updates from external services, such as OS, and antivirus updates. Supporting text should describe all internal components.
8b	Does the CSO provide components to run on the client side?	
8b	Does the CSO provide components to run on the client side? Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and that includes all traffic flows for both internal and external users?	 use of other alternative implementations such as physical protection via protective distribution systems [PDS]), where applicable. Note: If any data flows are not protected by encryption, the CSP should be prepared to provide the FedRAMP PMO clarifying details so that a risk decision can be made related to FedRAMP authorization:
9	Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and that includes all traffic flows for both internal and external users?	 Clearly identify anywhere federal data is to be processed, stored, or transmitted, Clearly delineate how data comes into and out of the system boundary, Clearly identify data flows for privileged, non-privileged and customers' access with MFA details, and Depict how all ports, protocols, and services of all inbound and outbound traffic are represented and managed. For each data flow, clearly depict protective mechanisms (encryption type and FIPS 140-2 validation of use of other alternative implementations such as physical protection via protective distribution systems [PDS]), where applicable. Note: If any data flows are not protected by encryption, the CSP should be prepared to provide the FedRAMP PMO clarifying details so that a risk decision can be made related to FedRAMP authorization: Identify the data flow(s) and stores that is/are not encrypted, Describe the impacted data (government data, government metadata, CSP data, etc.), Sensitivity of the impacted data (Low vs Moderate), Mitigations in place, Remediation plan, where appropriate, Projected date of remediation, where appropriate.
	Is there a data flow diagram that clearly illustrates the flow and protection of data going in and out of the service boundary and that includes all traffic flows for both internal and external	 Clearly identify anywhere federal data is to be processed, stored, or transmitted, Clearly delineate how data comes into and out of the system boundary, Clearly identify data flows for privileged, non-privileged and customers' access with MFA details, and Depict how all ports, protocols, and services of all inbound and outbound traffic are represented and managed. For each data flow, clearly depict protective mechanisms (encryption type and FIPS 140-2 validation o use of other alternative implementations such as physical protection via protective distribution systems [PDS]), where applicable. Note: If any data flows are not protected by encryption, the CSP should be prepared to provide the FedRAMP PMO clarifying details so that a risk decision can be made related to FedRAMP authorization: Identify the data flow(s) and stores that is/are not encrypted, Describe the impacted data (government data, government metadata, CSP data, etc.), Sensitivity of the impacted data (Low vs Moderate), Mitigations in place, Remediation plan, where appropriate,

	If this is a SaaS or a PaaS, is it "leveraging" another laaS with a FedRAMP authorization?	
L1b	If 11a is Yes, is the leveraged service a High service?	
l1c	If 11a is Yes, are the "inherited" controls clearly identified in the control descriptions?	
12	Are all interconnections correctly identified and documented in the SSP?	 Consistently address the external services referenced in C.10 and C.11a as follows: 1) Table 8-3. Leveraged Authorizations - should contain all FedRAMP authorized CSOs 2) Tables 11-1. System Interconnections and 13-3. CA-3 Authorized Connections, and SAR Section 6. Risks Known For Interconnected Systems - should catalog all external services 3) SA-9 External Information System Services - should address all external services where federal information is processed or stored 4) Supporting narrative a) Provide a brief description of how each is used in support of the CSO b) Describe the data shared with each external service - particularly those lacking FedRAMP authorization 5) ABD a) Show how each external service interacts with users and the CSO boundary b) Depict the FedRAMP authorization status for each external service 6) DFD - show encryption status, and authentication mechanism for all connections from ABD 7) All of the above should address external services at the CSP/CSO level 8) When the external service is authorized, used the name from the FedRAMP Marketplace 9) When leveraging CSOs where FedRAMP authorization is conducted at the internal service level: a) This is typical for FedRAMP authorized laaS/PaaS CSOs b) Depict on the ABD all the internal leveraged services in use
		c) Indicate the FedRAMP authorization status for each
13	Are all required controls present?	 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13 14	Are all required controls present? Are controls requiring automation implemented, where required?	 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Are controls requiring automation implemented, where	 c) Indicate the FedRAMP authorization status for each Review of following controls: - AC-2(1), AC-12, AC-18 (03) - AU-6(1), AU-03 (02), AU-06 (04), AU-07 (01) - AT-03 (04) - CA-07 - CM-2(2), CM-3(1), CM-03 (06), CM-6(1), CM-8(3), CM-8(2), CM-11 - CP-10 - IR-4(1), IR-5(1), IR-6(1) - PE-03, PE-8(1), PE-11 (01), PE-13(1), PE-13 (2), PE-13 (3), PE-15(1) - PS-4(2) - SC-23 (01)

17	Is the CSO compliant with DNSSEC? (Controls SC-20 and SC-21 apply)	 For SC-20, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from outside the boundary to the CSP's authoritative servers receive DNSSEC compliant responses.
		For SC-21, the CSP should describe how DNS requests from inside the boundary for destinations outside the boundary receive DNSSEC compliant responses.
18	Does the CSO adequately employ Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting & Conformance (DMARC) requirements according to DHS BOD 18-01?	 If email is sent on behalf of the government as part of the CSO, then DMARC must be implemented on the sending domain.
Other Con	nments:	

Control	Control	OK/Concern	Comments
AC-2	Account Management		Also check HBL control enhancements for AC-2, noting any concerns, for following: AC-2(2)/(3)/(4)/(5)/(7)/(9)/(11)/(12)/(13)
AC-4	Information Flow Enforcement		
AC-17	Remote Access		Also check AC-17(09) FedRAMP Parameter implementation
AC-18	Wireless Access Restrictions		Also check AC-19 and AC-19 (5)
AU-12(1)	Audit Generation		FedRAMP Parameter The information system compiles audit records from [FedRAMP Assignment: all network, data storage, and computing devices] into a system-wide (logical or physical) audit trail that is time-correlated to within [Assignment: organization-defined level of tolerance for relationship between time stamps of individual records in the audit trail].
CA-1	Security Assessment and Authorization Policies and Procedures		Also ensure FedRAMP HBL Parameters are addressed (at least annually &/or for a significant change)
CM-6	Configuration Settings		Also check Control Enhancement CM-6 (2)
CM-11(1)	User-Installed Software - Alerts for Unauthorized Installation		
CP-7	Alternate Processing Site		Also check control enhancement CP-7(4)
CP-8(3)	Telecom. Services - Separated Alternate Telecom. Services		
CP-9	Information System Backup		Also check CEs and FR Parameters for CP-9(1)/(2)/(5)
IA-2(1)	User Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - Network Access to Privileged Accounts.		
IA-2(2)	User Identification and Authentication (Organizational Users) - for Network Access to Non-privileged Accounts		
IA-2(3)	User Identification and Authentication - Local Access to Privileged Accounts		Not required in all instances; for example, SaaS CSPs may be N/A; laaS may use alternative implementation

IA-2(4)	User Identification and Authentication - Local Access to Non- privileged Accounts	
IA-2(9)	User Identification and Authentication - network access to Non- privileged Accounts - Replay-resistant	
IA-2(11)	User Identification and Authentication - Remote Access - Separate Device Authentication	
IA-2(12)	User Identification and Authentication - Acceptance of PIV Credentials	
IA-5	Authenticator Management	
IA-8(1)	Identification and Authentication (Non-Organizational Users)	
IR-8	Incident Response Plan	 Also check FR control enhancement IA-5(7)
RA-5	Vulnerability Scanning	
RA-5(4)	Vulnerability Scanning - Discoverable Info, Notification and Corrective Action	
RA-5(5)	Vulnerability Scanning - Privileged Access Authorization	
RA-5(6)	Vulnerability Scanning - Automated Mechanisms for Trends Determination	
RA-5(8)	Vulnerability Scanning - Review Historic Audit Logs	
RA-5(10)	Vulnerability Scanning - Correlation of Output for Presence of Multi-vulnerability/Multi-hop Attack Vectors	
SA-9(5)	System and Services Acq Ext. Info Sys. Processing, Storage, and Service Location	 FedRAMP Parameters require: SA-9 (5)-1 [information processing, information data, AND information services] SA-9 (5)-2 [US/US Territories or geographic locations where there is US jurisdiction] SA-9 (5)-3 [all High impact data, systems, or services]
SA-11	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation	
SA-11(1)	Developer Security Testing and Evaluation - Static Code Analysis	 R3 requires a Code Analysis Report and that it be in a ConMon Plan
SC-2	Application Partitioning	
SC-3	Secure Function Isolation	
SC-4	Information in Shared Resources	
SC-7	Boundary Protection	 Also check following CEs for FR HBL control or parameter implementation: SC-7(4)/(10)/(12)/(13)/(20)
SC-8 and SC-8 (1)	Transmission Confidentiality and Integrity	
SC-12(1)	Cryptographic Key Establishment & Management - Availability	
SC-13	Cryptographic Protection - FIPS-validated or NSA-approved	 Also check SC-8, SC-8(1), and SC-28 for consistency
SC-28	Protection of Information at Rest	
SI-4(11)	Info System Monitoring - Analyze Comms. Traffic, Anomalies	
SI-4 (18)	Info System Monitoring - Outbound Traffic, Covert Exfiltration	
Other Com		
Other Com	ments:	

#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAP template used, including all sections?		
2	Security Assessment Test Cases (Test Case Workbook) present?		
3а	Rules of Engagement present?		
3b	Penetration Test Plan present (may be combined with Rules of Engagement)?		
4	Is there an inventory of items to be tested?		
5	If a sampling methodology was used for technical testing, was the sampling methodology/plan described?		

#	Description	OK/Concern	Comments
1	FedRAMP SAR template used, including all sections?		
2	Are risks documented?		
2a	Have all external services been appropriately documented in the SAR?		It is expected that the 3PAO accurately reflect the risks due to external service interconnections to the system. At minimum, there should be a correlation to the SSP.
3	Was evidence provided, or was there a statement that evidence can be provided upon request?		
1a	Completed Security Assessment Test Cases present and in accordance with the FedRAMP template?		
lb	If SSP controls reflect any alternative implementations, do the Test Cases reflect specific test procedures to address each particular alternative implementation?		
5	Security scan results present?		
6	Penetration Test Report present and consistent with the FedRAMP Penetration Test Guidance?		
7	Are deviations from the SAP documented?		
8	Does the 3PAO provide an attestation statement or recommendation for authorization?		
9	Are there zero High findings identified in the SAR? If there are any high findings, provide the number and comments.		
LO	Are the numbers of risks/findings consistently stated within the SAR, where appropriate?		
 L1	Are the inventory lists within the SAR and SSP consistent?		

12	Are SAR test results consistent with FedRAMP Timeliness and Accuracy of Testing Requirements?	 Pen Test: ~ Vuln Scanning: ~ Controls Testing: ~
Other Com	ments:	
Findings:		
High:		
Mod:		
Low:		
# of risks d	owngraded (by level) due to mitigating factors	
# of ORs		

1 Is the POA&M in the FedRAMP 2 Is the POA&M consistent with S Table? 3 Are the POA&M line items cons POA&M Template Completion () 4a Have any Operationally Require	AR Risk Exposure Summary stent with the FedRAMP		
Table? 3 Are the POA&M line items cons POA&M Template Completion (stent with the FedRAMP		
POA&M Template Completion (
4a Have any Operationally Require			
been validated by the 3PAO? (I			
4b Have all ORs risks been accept	ed by the authorizing agency?	TBD	Have all POA&M-listed ORs, that are not included in the SAR, been risk accepted by the authorizing agency? [Agency to advise during Review Meeting]
5 Does the POA&M reflect adhere requirements (completion dates Moderate, and 180 days for Lov	by 30 days for High, 90 days for		
ner Comments:			

v4.7